By Marjorie Cohn

From Truthout | Original Article



Secretary of State Mike Pompeo delivers remarks to the media at the State Department on March 5, 2020, in Washington, D.C. Pompeo criticized a ruling by international war crimes judges that a probe into wartime abuses in Afghanistan, including possible atrocities by U.S. forces, must go ahead. Eric Baradat / AFP via Getty Images

After the prosecutor of the International Criminal Court (ICC) found a reasonable basis to believe that U.S. military and CIA leaders committed war crimes and crimes against humanity in Afghanistan, Team Trump threatened to ban ICC judges and prosecutors from the U.S. and warned it would impose economic sanctions on the Court if it launched an investigation.

Apparently succumbing to the U.S. threats, in April 2019, the ICC's Pretrial Chamber refused to

authorize the investigation that prosecutor Fatou Bensouda had requested.

But in an unprecedented decision, the Appeals Chamber unanimously overruled the Pretrial Cha mber on March 5, 2020 , and ordered a f

and ordered a f ormal investigation of U.S. , Afghan and Taliban

officials for war crimes, including torture , committed in the "war on terror

Once again, the Trump administration is threatening the International Criminal Court. Following the Appeals Chamber

's

decision,

Secretary of State Mike Pompeo

declared

declare

This is a truly breathtaking action by an unaccountable political institution, masquerading as a legal body

,,

He added

, "

The United States is not a party to the ICC, and we will take all necessary measures to protect our citizens from this renegade, so-called court.

Pompeo is likely referring to the <u>American Service-Members' Protection Act</u>, enacted during the George W. Bush administration after it removed the U.S.'s signature from the ICC's Rome Statute. Often called the "Hague Invasion Act," it says that if a U.S. or allied national is detained by the ICC, the U.S. military can use armed force to extricate the individual. Although this provision has not yet been utilized, the potential for its use is frightening.

Even if a country is not a party to the Rome Statute, its nationals can still be tried in the ICC if the crimes took place in the territory of a country that is a party. Thus, although the United States has not ratified the Rome Statute, the ICC still has jurisdiction over crimes committed by U.S. nationals

in the territory of Afghanistan.

The impunity that U.S. officials have enjoyed for their international crimes may finally be coming to an end.

"Countries must fully cooperate with this investigation and not submit to any <u>authoritarian</u> tactics by the Trump administration

to sabotage it

,,

Jamil

Dakwar

, director of the ACLU's Human Rights Project,

said. Responding to Pompeo's threats,

Dakwar

noted,

"No one except the world's most brutal regimes win when the United States tries to impugn and sabotage international institutions established to

hold human rights abusers accountable

"

Prosecutor Found the U.S. Had a Policy of Torture

Bensouda found the alleged crimes by the CIA and U.S. military "were not the abuses of a few isolated individuals," but were "part of approved interrogation techniques in an attempt to extract 'actionable intelligence' from detainees." She noted

there was "reason to believe" that crimes were "committed in the furtherance of a policy or policies ... which would support US objectives in the conflict of Afghanistan."

The Pretrial Chamber agreed with Bensouda that there were reasonable grounds to believe that

pursuant to a U.S. policy, members of the CIA had committed war crimes . They included torture and cruel treatment, and outrages upon personal dignity, s well as rape and other forms of sexual violence against those held in detention facilities in the territory of States Parties to the Rome Statute , including Afghanistan, Poland, Romania and Lithuania.

But the Pretrial Chamber denied Bensouda's request for an investigation "in the interests of justice" due to the "e xtremely limited

prospects for a successful investigation and prosecution because of an anticipated lack of cooperation from U.S. and Afghan authorities.

The Appeals Chamber Approves War Crimes Investigation

In its groundbreaking decision, the <u>Appeals Chamber authorized</u> the prosecutor to initiate an investigation "

in relation to alleged crimes committed on the territory of Afghanistan in the period since 1 May 2003, as well as other alleged crimes that have a nexus to the armed conflict in Afghanistan and are sufficiently linked to the situation and were committed on the territory of other States Parties in the period since 1 July 2002."

It is not necessary that the criminal acts or apprehension of victims took place in the territory of Afghanistan.

For example, if a person suspected of being a member of o

r associated with al-

Qaeda or the Taliban was allegedly tortured or apprehended outside of Afghanistan, the war crime of torture

could still be investigated.

Once again, the Trump administration is threatening the International Criminal Court.

The Appeals Chamber noted the Pretrial Chamber's agreement with the prosecutor that there was a reasonable factual basis

and jurisdiction for an investigation

. B

ut the

Appeals Chamber

held that the Rome Statute did not authorize the Pretrial Chamber to make a finding that an investigation

recommended by the prosecutor

would not serve

the interests of justice.

Moreover, the Appeals Chamber concluded that the Pretrial Chamber "did not properly assess the interests of justice" because its

reasoning was "cursory" and "speculative

" and

there was no indication that i

ť

considered

the gravity of the crimes and the interests of the victims."

In addition, the Appeals Chamber ruled that the investigation will not be restricted to the factual information the prosecutor unc

overed
during her preliminary examination. The investigation
w
on't
be limited to
incidents the prosecutor
identified or
even
to
incidents
"closely linked" to t
hem

Affirming

"the independence of the Prosecutor," the Appeals Chamber gave her wide berth to conduct the investigation.

The ICC operates under the principle of "complementarity." That means the Court will assume jurisdiction over a case only if the home country of the accused is unable or unwilling to hold him legally accountable

If the U.S. government had prosecuted Bush administration officials for their war crimes during the "war on terror,"

the

ICC

would not

now take

jurisdiction

But

after

Barack Obama

said

, "Generally speaking, I'm more interested in looking forward than I am in looking backwards .

his administration refused to prosecute those implicated in the torture and willful killings of detainees during the Bush administration.

Torture Victims Hope for Accountability

Bensouda interviewed thousands of victims during her preliminary examination. About 100 of them joined her appeal of the Pretrial Chamber's ruling. Victims and their lawyers hailed the Appeals Chamber

's

decision and

expressed hope that those responsible will finally be held accountable.

Countries must fully cooperate with this investigation and not submit to any authoritaria n tactics by the Trump administration to sabotage it.

One appellant is Ahmed Rabbani, a Pakistani taxi driver who was taken to Afghanistan and des cribe

d

being

tortured for 540 days by U.S. actors

. He

is represented by the human rights organization

Reprieve

"If the people who tortured me are investigated and prosecuted, I will be very happy. I would ask just one thing from them: an

apology

Rabbani

said. "If they are willing to compensate me with \$1 million for each year I have spent here, that will not be enough. I am still going through suffering and torture at present. But I would be happy with just three words: 'We are sorry.'"

The ACLU represents Khaled El Masri, Suleiman Salim and Mohamed Ben Soud, who describ ed

orture

they suffered

in Afghanistan

between 2003 and 2008.

"This decision vindicates the rule of law and gives hope to the thousands of victims seeking

accountability when domestic courts and authorities have failed them the ACLU's Dakwar said

If the U.S. government had prosecuted Bush administration officials for their war crimes during the "war on terror," the ICC would not now take jurisdiction

Katherine Gallagher, senior staff attorney at the Center for Constitutional Rights and ICC Victi ms

L

egal

R

epresentative,

also

welcomed

the decision

because it

provides hope that justice is available to everyone. "For more than 15 years, like too many other victims of the

U.S. torture program

Sharqawi

Al-Hajj and

Guled

Duran have suffered physically and mentally in unlawful U.S. detention, while former senior U.S.

officials have enjoyed impunity," Gallagher said. "In authorizing this critical and much-delayed investigation into crimes in and related to Afghanistan, the Court made clear that political interference in judicial proceedings will not be

But in light of the Appeals Chamber's landmark decision holding that U.S. officials will be investigated for war crimes expect escalating threats and retaliation against the ICC by the Trump administration.

Copyright, Truthout.org. Reprinted with permission.

tolerated."

, we can